



Elizabeth Woodville School		
Policy Name:	Non-examination Assessment Policy 2024/25	
Owner:	Examination Officers/Deputy Heads	
Statutory:	No	
Date Ratified:	October 2024	
Review date:	October 2025	

Contents

What does this policy affect?	
Purpose of the policy	
What are non-examination assessments?	
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities 2	
The basic principles	
Task setting	
Issuing of tasks	
Task taking3	
Supervision	
Advice and feedback	
Resources	
Word and time limits	
Collaboration and group work	
Authentication procedures	
Presentation of work	
Keeping materials secure	
Task marking – externally assessed components	
Conduct of externally assessed work	
Submission of work	
Task marking – internally assessed components	
Marking and annotation	
Internal standardisation	
Consortium arrangements	
Submission of marks and work for moderation7	
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks7	
External moderation - feedback	
Access arrangements	
Special consideration	
Malpractice	
Enquiries about results	
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England	
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	
manapement of losides and potential hole associated with non-examination assessments minimum in 10	

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.





"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'." [Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments–</u> <u>Foreword, page 3]</u>

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments.
- *define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments.*
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments.

[NEA – The basic principles, page 4]

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[<u>NEA – The basic principles, page 4]</u>

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The Basic Principles

Head of Centre

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose.
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks.

Senior Leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year.

Quality Assurance (QA) Lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates.
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria.
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers.





- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates.
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject Head/Lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process.
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

Subject Teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website.
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body.
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries.

Exams Officer

• Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment.

Task Setting

Subject Teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification.
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work.

Issuing of Tasks

Subject Teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body.
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates.
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times.
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between.

Task Taking Supervision

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements.
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated.
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own.





- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution.
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents *Information for candidates*.

Advice and Feedback

Subject Teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task.
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates.
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level.
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner.
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it.

Resources

Subject Teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates.
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions.
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and Time Limits

Subject Teacher

• Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory.

Collaboration and Group Work

Subject Teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work.
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates.
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment.
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually.

Authentication Procedures

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work.
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met.





- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs the exams officer

Presentation of Work

Subject Teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions.
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work.

Keeping Materials Secure

Subject Teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored.
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8.</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking.
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre.
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or
 partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means.
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.

IT Manager

• Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.

Task Marking – Externally Assessed Components Conduct of Externally Assessed Work

Subject Teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification.
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component.

Exams Officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification.
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body.
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting examinations.*





Submission of Work

Subject Teacher

• Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent.
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work.
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series.
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label.
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline.

Task Marking – Internally Assessed Components Marking and Annotation

Subject Teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body.
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria.
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process.
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body.

Internal Standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence.

Subject Teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking.
- Marks to common standards

Consortium Arrangements

Subject Head/Lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected.
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed.

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline.





Exams Officer

- Arranges completion of form JCQ/CCA <u>Centre consortium arrangements for centre assessed work</u>
- Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected.
- Submits marks to the awarding body deadline.
- Where relevant, liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline.
- Where relevant (as the consortium lead), retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series.

Submission of Marks and Work for Moderation

Subject Teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.

Exams Officer

- Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body.
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging.
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results.
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.

Storage and Retention of Work After Submission of Marks

Subject Teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample.
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results.
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place.
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams Officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention.





External moderation - feedback

Subject Head/Lead

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series.

Exams Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff.
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration.

Access Arrangements

Subject Teacher

• Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments.

Special Educational Needs Coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u> <u>Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place.
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments.
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met.
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role.

Special Consideration

Subject Teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate:
 - is absent.
 - produces a reduced quantity of work.
 - work has been lost.
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments.

Exams Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration process</u>
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale.
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale.
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application.

Malpractice

Head of Centre

• Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff.





 Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and</u> <u>Procedures</u>

Subject Teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document *Information for candidates non-examination* <u>assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document *Information for candidates Social Media*

Exams Officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and</u> <u>Procedures</u> to the head of centre.
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work to subject</u> heads.
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidate's documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice.

Enquiries About Results

Head of Centre

• Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results.

Subject Head/Lead

• Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results.

Subject Teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available.
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline.
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required.

Exams Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>, <u>Information and guidance for centres</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information.
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline.
- Collects candidate consent where required.

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England Head of Centre

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will
have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities.





Subject Head/Lead

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England.
- Ensures a response is provided to JCQ indicating which awarding body the centre intends to use for its entries in A level Biology, Chemistry and Physics (in Autumn 2016).
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement.
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately.
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit.

Subject Teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood.
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place.
- Provides all the required centre records.
- Ensures candidates provide the required records.
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit.
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC).
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment.
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome.

Exams Officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment.

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of Centre

• Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement.

Quality Assurance (QA) lead/Lead Internal Verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments.

Subject Head/Lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England.
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers.
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided.

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood.
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions.
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria.
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes.





• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings.

Exams Officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings.

Management of Issues and Potential Risks Associated with Non-Examination Assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior	Learning Lead
failure/corruption of task details	to start of course.	
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date.	IT Support
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access.	
	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	Learning Lead
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting	
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification.	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand the	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in	Learning Lead
marking criteria and what they	the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual	-
need to do to gain credit	candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates.	
J. J	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria.	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	Learning Leader
during the task setting stage	at key points in the exam cycle	Head Teacher
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	Learning Lead
to candidates undertaking new	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for	
specification	new specifications.	Exams Officer
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task not issued	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	Learning Lead
to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course.	-
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task	
	will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing	
	and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding	Learning Lead
candidates.	body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to	
	candidates.	Exams Officer
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	Learning Lead
during the issuing of tasks stage	at key points in the exam cycle	
	,,	Head Teacher
Task taking		





LEARNING TRUST		
Supervision	Assessment plan identified for the start of the source	LoarningLoad
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course.	Learning Lead Senior Leader
	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
Rooms or facilities inadequate for	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for	Learning Lead
candidates to take tasks under	the start of the course.	
appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number	
	of candidates.	Exams Officer
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the	
	same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	Learning Lead
candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	
authenticated	and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's	
	specification in relation to the supervision of candidates.	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as	
	detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions	Learning Lead
malpractice prior to submitting	for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice)	Senior Leader
their work for assessment	are followed.	
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary	
	procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were not put	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Exams Officer
in place for an assessment where a	special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to	
candidate is approved for	be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	
arrangements		
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	Learning Lead
advice and feedback not given by	all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the	
subject teacher prior to starting on	centre's quality assurance procedures.	Senior Leader
their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	
	confirm monitoring activity.	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to	
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject	
	and component.	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting	
	on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	Learning Lead
feedback given by subject teacher	all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking	
during the task-taking stage	stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures.	Senior Leader
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	
	confirm monitoring activity.	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates	
	during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and	
	component.	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-	
	taking stage	
A third-party claims that assistance	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	Senior Leader
was given to candidates by the	interviewed, and statements recorded where relevant.	
subject teacher over and above	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given.	





LEARNING TRUST	School	
that allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the	Exams Officer
specification	awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before	Subject Teacher
information from published source	work is submitted for formal assessment.	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments.	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out	Subject Teacher
references as required	of references before work is submitted for formal assessment.	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments.	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch	Learning Lead
after formally supervised task	up	
taking has started		
Candidate moves to another centre	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done	Exams Officer
during the course	depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	Exams Officer
complete his/her non-examination	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education.	
assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are	
	made separately for the candidate	
Resources		I
A candidate augments notes and	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept	Learning Lead
resources between formally	secure between formally supervised sessions.	
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and	Subject Teacher
	kept secure between formally supervised sessions.	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is	
	restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to acknowledge	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Subject Teacher
sources on work that is submitted	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	
for assessment	books, websites and audio/visual resources.	
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately.	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding	
	body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits	1	1
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	Subject Teacher
awarding body for exceeding word	determine if word or time limits are mandatory.	
or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from	Learning Lead
	exceeding them.	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word	
	or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work	1	1





LEARNING TRUST	School	
Candidates have worked in groups	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	Learning Lead
where the awarding body	determine if group work is permitted.	
specification states this is not	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Exams Officer
permitted		
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
authenticity of the work submitted	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work.	Learning Lead
by a candidate for internal	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	
assessment.	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments.	Senior Leader
	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do	
Candidate plagiarises other	to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as	
material.	outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments.	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment.	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	Learning Lead
authentication	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments.	
statement/declaration	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to	
	comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments.	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a	
	candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign	Senior Leader
sign authentication forms	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	
	the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		I
Candidate does not fully complete	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting	Subject Teacher
the awarding body's cover sheet	the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
that is attached to their worked		
submitted for formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ	Subject Teacher
supervised sessions is not securely	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments.	
stored	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure	Learning Lead
	storage	Leensing Leed
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	Learning Lead
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course.	
Test Menting Fatomally Assessed	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Task Marking – Externally Assessed		
A candidate is absent on the day of	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Exams Officer
the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate.	
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	
	submitted to the awarding body where apprepriate	
A condidato is character the day of	submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Subject Territor
A candidate is absent on the day of	submitted to the awarding body where appropriateThe candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Teacher
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason		Subject Teacher





LEARNING TRUST	School	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Subject Teacher
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body.	
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed	Learning Lead
	against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately;	
	where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of	
	zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish their	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Subject Teacher
work for unforeseen reason	special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and	5
	the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost or	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Subject Teacher
damaged	special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and	
	the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Learning Lead
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions	Senior Leader
discovered	for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice)	and
	are followed.	Learning Lead
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication	Learning Leau
	Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed.	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Fuence Officer
A teacher marks the work of	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a	Exams Officer
his/her own child	teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course.	
	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of	
	the sample requested or not	Learning Lead
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	Exams Officer
submission of marks is required for	granted.	
a legitimate reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Learning Lead
	special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and	
	the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Head Teacher
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance.	Exams Officer
discovered that the wrong task was	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
given to candidates	special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and	Learning Lead
	the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for	
	candidates	
A candidate wishes to appeal the	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their	Subject Teacher
marks awarded for their work by	work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body.	
their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks.	Learning Lead
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process.	
	Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the	
	internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks.	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of	
	the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting	
Doodling for submitting work for	an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	Subject Teacher
Deadline for submitting work for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the	Subject Teacher
formal assessment not met by	start of the course.	Evene Offi
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood.	Exams Officer
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to	
	determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the	
	awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met.	





	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be	
	accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding	
	body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic	Learning Lead
samples of candidates work	year.	
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines	Senior Leader
	approach.	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers.	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence	Senior Leader
during the marking period	at key points in the exam cycle)	
		Head Teacher

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

lssue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior	Learning Lead
failure/corruption of task details	to start of course.	
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date.	IT Support
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access.	
	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	Learning Lead
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting	
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification.	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand the	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in	Learning Lead
marking criteria and what they	the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual	
need to do to gain credit	candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates.	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria.	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	Learning Leader
during the task setting stage	at key points in the exam cycle	Head Teacher
Issuing of Tasks		
Task for legacy specification given	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	Learning Lead
to candidates undertaking new	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for	
specification	new specifications.	Exams Officer
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task not issued	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	Learning Lead
to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course.	
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task	
	will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing	
	and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding	Learning Lead
candidates	body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to	
	candidates.	Exams Officer
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	Learning Lead
during the issuing of tasks stage	at key points in the exam cycle	
		Head Teacher



Task Taking



Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course.	Learning Lead
other centre or candidate activities	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Senior Leader
Rooms or facilities inadequate for	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for	Learning Lead
candidates to take tasks under	the start of the course.	
appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number	
	of candidates.	Exams Officer
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the	
	same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	Learning Lead
candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	
authenticated	and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's	
	specification in relation to the supervision of candidates.	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as	
	detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions	Learning Lead
malpractice prior to submitting	for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice)	Senior Leader
their work for assessment	are followed.	
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary	
	procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were not put	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Exams Officer
in place for an assessment where a	special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to	
candidate is approved for	be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	
arrangements		
Advice and feedback		L
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	Learning Lead
advice and feedback not given by	all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the	
subject teacher prior to starting on	centre's quality assurance procedures.	Senior Leader
their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	
	confirm monitoring activity.	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to	
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject	
	and component.	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting	
	on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	Learning Lead
feedback given by subject teacher	all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking	Leaning Lead
during the task-taking stage	stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures.	Senior Leader
during the task taking stage	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	Semon Leader
	confirm monitoring activity.	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates	
	during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and	
	component.	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-	
	taking stage	
A third-party claims that assistance	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	Senior Leader
was given to candidates by the	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant.	
subject teacher over and above	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given.	
that allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the	
specification	awarding body	Exams Officer
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before	Subject Teacher
	work is submitted for formal assessment.	
information from published source		
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments.	





LEARNING TRUST		
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
Candidate does not set out	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out	Subject Teacher
references as required	of references before work is submitted for formal assessment.	-
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments.	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch	Learning Lead
after formally supervised task	up	
taking has started		
Candidate moves to another centre	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done	Exams Officer
during the course	depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	Exams Officer
complete his/her non-examination	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education.	
assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are	
D	made separately for the candidate	
Resources A candidate augments notes and	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept	Learning Lead
resources between formally	secure between formally supervised sessions.	Learning Leau
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and	Subject Teacher
	kept secure between formally supervised sessions.	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is	
	restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to acknowledge	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Subject Teacher
sources on work that is submitted	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	
for assessment	books, websites and audio/visual resources.	
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately.	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding	
	body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		T
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	Subject Teacher
awarding body for exceeding word	determine if word or time limits are mandatory.	
or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from	Learning Lead
	exceeding them.	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work		
Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in groups	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	Learning Lead
where the awarding body	determine if group work is permitted.	Leaning Leau
specification states this is not	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Exams Officer
permitted		
Authentication procedures		I
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
authenticity of the work submitted	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work.	Learning Lead
by a candidate for internal	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	
assessment.	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments.	Senior Leader
	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do	
Candidate plagiarises other	to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as	
candidate plagianses other		1
	outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
material.		





LEARNING TRUST	School	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	Learning Lead
authentication	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments.	
statement/declaration	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to	
	comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments.	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a	
Cubicat to a share ant available to	candidate for formal assessment	Conton Loodon
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign	Senior Leader
sign authentication forms	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	
	the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work	1	
Candidate does not fully complete	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting	Subject Teacher
the awarding body's cover sheet	the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
that is attached to their worked		
submitted for formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ	Subject Teacher
supervised sessions is not securely	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments.	
stored	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure	Learning Lead
	storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	Learning Lead
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course.	
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Task marking – externally assess	ed components	
A candidate is absent on the day of	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Exams Officer
the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate.	
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	
	submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the day of	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Teacher
, the examiner visit for an		,
unacceptable reason		
Task marking – internally assess	ed components	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Subject Teacher
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body.	
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed	Learning Lead
	against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately;	
	where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of	
	zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish their	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Subject Teacher
work for unforeseen reason	special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and	
work for unioreseen reason	the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost or	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Subject Teacher
	special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and	Subject reacher
damaged		LoorningLood
	the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Learning Lead
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions	Senior Leader
discovered	for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice)	and
	are followed.	Learning Lead
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication	
	Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed.	
	A new requires intermediation in the new representation of a fellowing	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a	Exams Officer
		Exams Officer
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a	Exams Officer





LEARNING TRUST		
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	Exams Officer
submission of marks is required for	granted.	
a legitimate reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Learning Lead
	special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and	
	the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Head Teacher
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance.	Exams Officer
discovered that the wrong task was	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
given to candidates	special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and	Learning Lead
	the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for	
	candidates	
A candidate wishes to appeal the	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their	Subject Teacher
marks awarded for their work by	work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body.	
their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks.	Learning Lead
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process.	
	Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the	
	internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks.	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of	
	the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting	
	an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the	Subject Teacher
formal assessment not met by	start of the course.	
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood.	Exams Officer
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to	
	determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the	
	awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met.	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be	
	accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding	
	body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks and	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic	Learning Lead
samples of candidates work	year.	
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines	Senior Leader
	approach.	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers.	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence	Senior Leader
during the marking period	at key points in the exam cycle)	
		Head Teacher